UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Joseph A. Kinsella, as Administrator and personal representative of the Estate of Katherine Kinsella, and Joseph A. Kinsella, individually and on behalf of all distributees of Katherine Kinsella, deceased,

Plaintiffs

v.

Wyman Charter Corp., Michael P. Wyman, Joseph Jay Shore, Cord Mitchell Shore, Caralyn Shore, Toad Hall Corp., Ian McColgin, the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II," her engines, tackle and appurtenances, in rem, the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile," her engines, tackle and appurtenances, in rem,

Defendants.

11615 NIMG

Civil Action No.:

COMPLAINT & JURY DEMAND

PLAINTIFFS DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY ON ALLOGIDAUMS 57417

AMOUNT \$150
SUMMONS ISSUED 465
LOCAL RULE 4.1
WAIVER FORM
MCF ISSUED
BY DPTY. CLK.

Plaintiff, Joseph A. Kinsella, as Administrator and personal representative of the Estate of Katherine Kinsella, and Joseph A. Kinsella, individually and on behalf of all distributees of Katherine Kinsella, deceased, by their attorneys, Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. and Friedman & James LLP, allege as follows:

Nature of Action:

1. This action seeks recovery for maritime personal injuries and wrongful death as a result of the tragic death of Katherine Kinsella on or about July 22-23, 2001 while a passenger on board the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" subsequent to its collision with the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile" within the territorial waters of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Jurisdiction and Venue:

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 in that the claims asserted herein are between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.
- 3. This Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333.
- 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(a) in that a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

The Parties:

- 5. Plaintiffs' decedent, Katherine Kinsella, was at all relevant times a citizen of the Republic of Ireland.
- 6. Joseph A. Kinsella, as Administrator and personal representative of the Estate of Katherine Kinsella and Joseph A. Kinsella, individually and on behalf of all distributees, was and still is a citizen and resident of the Republic of Ireland along with all distributees.
- 7. Defendant Wyman Charter Corp. was and still is a corporation organized under and existing pursuant to the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with its office and principal place of business located 66 Channel Point Road, Hyannis, Massachusetts.
- 8. Defendant Michael P. Wyman was and still is an individual citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 9. Defendant Joseph Jay Shore was and still is an individual citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

- Defendant Cord Mitchell Shore was and still is an individual citizen and 10. resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 11. Defendant Caralyn Shore was and still is an individual citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 12. Defendant Toad Hall Corp. was and still is a corporation organized under and existing pursuant to the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with its office and principal place of business located at 316 Sea Street, Hyannis, Massachusetts.
- 13. Defendant Ian McColgin was and still is an individual citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 14. The Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" was and still is a United States flagged vessel having an overall registered length of 58' and is now or will be during the pendency of this action within this district and within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 15. The Sailing Vessel "Granuaile" was and still is a United States flagged vessel having an overall length of 55' and is now or will be during the pendency of this action within the jurisdiction of this Court.

Allegations Common to All Causes of Action:

- 16. At all relevant times, defendant Wyman Charter Corp. owned the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 17. At all relevant times, defendant Michael P. Wyman owned the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 18. At all relevant times, defendant Joseph Jay Shore was the owner and/or owner pro hac vice of the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."

- 19. At all relevant times, defendant Cord Mitchell Shore was the owner and/or owner pro hac vice of the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 20. At all relevant times, defendant Caralyn Shore was the owner and/or owner pro hac vice of the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 21. At all relevant times, defendant Toad Hall Corp. was the owner and/or owner pro hac vice of the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 22. At all relevant times, defendant Wyman Charter Corp. operated the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 23. At all relevant times, defendant Michael P. Wyman operated the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 24. At all relevant times, defendant Joseph Jay Shore operated the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 25. At all relevant times, defendant Cord Mitchell Shore operated the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 26. At all relevant times, defendant Caralyn Shore operated the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 27. At all relevant times, defendant Toad Hall Corp. operated the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 28. At all relevant times, defendant Wyman Charter Corp. controlled the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 29. At all relevant times, defendant Michael P. Wyman controlled the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."

- 30. At all relevant times, defendant Joseph Jay Shore controlled the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 31. At all relevant times, defendant Cord Mitchell Shore controlled the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 32. At all relevant times, defendant Caralyn Shore controlled the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 33. At all relevant times, defendant Toad Hall Corp. controlled the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 34. At all relevant times, defendant Wyman Charter Corp. managed the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 35. At all relevant times, defendant Michael P. Wyman managed the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 36. At all relevant times, defendant Joseph Jay Shore managed the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 37. At all relevant times, defendant Cord Mitchell Shore managed the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 38. At all relevant times, defendant Caralyn Shore managed the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 39. At all relevant times, defendant Toad Hall Corp. managed the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 40. At all relevant times, defendant Joseph Jay Shore chartered the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" from defendant(s) Wyman Charter Corp. and/or Michael P. Wyman.

- 41. At all relevant times, defendant Cord Mitchell Shore chartered the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" from defendant(s) Wyman Charter Corp. and/or Michael P. Wyman.
- 42. At all relevant times, defendant Caralyn Shore chartered the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" from defendant(s) Wyman Charter Corp. and/or Michael P. Wyman.
- 43. At all relevant times, defendant Toad Hall Corp, chartered the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" from defendant(s) Wyman Charter Corp. and/or Michael P. Wyman.
- 44. At all relevant times, defendant Ian McColgin owned, operated, managed and/or controlled the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile."
- 45. On or about July 22, 2001, plaintiff's decedent, Katherine Kinsella, boarded the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" as a passenger within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 46. On or about July 22, 2001, the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" was caused to collide with the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile" at or about Hyannis Harbor within the territorial waters of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, causing damage to the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 47. As a result of the collision as aforesaid, the damages sustained, and the ensuing maneuvering by the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II," plaintiff's decedent Katherine Kinsella was caused to fall overboard from the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II."
- 48. As a result thereof, plaintiff's decedent Katherine Kinsella was caused to sustain severe personal injuries and endure prolonged pain and suffering, ultimately resulting in her death by drowning within the territorial waters of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(negligence against Wyman Charter Corp., Michael P. Wyman, Joseph Jay Shore, Cord Mitchell Shore, Caralyn Shore, Toad Hall Corp. and/or Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II," in rem)

- 49. Plaintiffs repeat each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 48 with the same force and effect as if set forth at length herein.
- 50. The injuries to and ensuing death of the plaintiffs' decedent Katherine Kinsella were caused as a direct and proximate result of the breach and violation of the duty of care owed to plaintiffs' decedent by defendants Wyman Charter Corp., Michael P. Wyman, Joseph Jay Shore, Cord Mitchell Shore, Caralyn Shore, Toad Hall Corp. and/or Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II," their agents and/or employees by, among other things, allowing and/or permitting the number of passengers on board to exceed the vessel's licensed capacity and providing fewer than adequate life preservers or other rescue and safety devices; failing to properly man, maintain, monitor and navigate the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II, thereby allowing said vessel to collide with the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile;" failing to maintain a proper lookout; failing to promptly repair and warn passengers of damage to the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II" after the collision as aforesaid; failing to conduct a proper and timely search and rescue operation upon becoming aware that plaintiffs' decedent was missing and hearing decedent's futile cries for help; failing to notify in a proper and timely manner Coast Guard and/or other rescue personnel who could have saved plaintiffs' decedent from her untimely demise; allowing the unauthorized and unlawful sale and consumption of alcohol to minors on board the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II;" and such other and further acts of negligence to be proven at trial, all without any fault of plaintiffs' decedent.
- 51. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiffs have sustained damages, including but not limited to (a) the terror and agonizing physical, mental and emotional pain and suffering

and fear of impending death experienced by Katherine Kinsella prior to her death by drowning; (b) the loss to her surviving parents and siblings of the reasonable expectation of pecuniary benefits and support that would have resulted from the continued life of the decedent; (c) funeral, rescue, medical and repatriation expenses; (d) loss of services of sister and daughter; (e) loss of estate of the decedent accumulated reasonably from the continued life of the decedent; (f) loss of society, including, but not limited to, loss of love, companionship, attention, care, comfort and affection; (g) interest from the date of death; and (h) other damages, including punitive damages, permitted by all applicable laws.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (negligence against Ian McColgin and the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile," in rem

- 52. Plaintiffs repeat each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 48 with the same force and effect as if set forth at length herein.
- 53. The injuries to and ensuing death of the plaintiffs' decedent Katherine Kinsella were caused as a direct and proximate result of the breach and violation of the duty of care owed by defendant Ian McColgin and the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile" by, among other things, failing to properly mark the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile," at anchorage at or about Hyannis Harbor; failing to properly moor the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile;" and such other, further and different acts of negligence to be proven at trial, all without any fault of plaintiffs' decedent.
- 53. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiffs have sustained damages, including but not limited to (a) the terror and agonizing physical, mental and emotional pain and suffering and fear of impending death experienced by Katherine Kinsella prior to her death by drowning; (b) the loss to her surviving parents and siblings of the reasonable expectation of pecuniary benefits and support that would have resulted from the continued life of the decedent; (c) funeral,

rescue, medical and repatriation expenses; (d) loss of services of sister and daughter; (e) loss of estate of the decedent accumulated reasonably from the continued life of the decedent; (f) loss of society, including, but not limited to, loss of love, companionship, attention, care, comfort and affection; (g) interest from the date of death; and (h) other damages, including punitive damages, permitted by all applicable laws.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs Joseph A. Kinsella, as Administrator and personal representative of the Estate of Katherine Kinsella, and Joseph A. Kinsella, individually and on behalf of all distributees of Katherine Kinsella, demands judgment against defendants, or any of them, for damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, along with punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury, together with interest and the costs and disbursements of maintaining this action, along with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: July ___, 2004

Joseph A. Kinsella, as Administrator and personal representative of the Estate of Katherine Kinsella, and Joseph A. Kinsella, individually and on behalf of all distributees of Katherine Kinsella,

By their attorneys,

Sarah B. Herlihy, BBO #640531

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,

Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

One Financial Center

Boston, MA 02111 (617) 542-6000

(617) 542-2241 (facsimile)

Of Counsel, and

V. Buch baum Friedman & James LLP

132 Nassau Street
New York, NY 10038
(212) 233-9385

(212) 619-2340 (facsimile)

AMOUN

APPLYING IEP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

DEFENDANTS

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except & provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Joseph A. Kinsella, as Administrator and personal representative of the Estate of Katherine Kinsella, and Wyman Charter Corp., Michael P. Wyman, Joseph Jay Shore, Cord Mitchell Shore, Caralyn Shore, Toad Hall Corp., Ian McColgin, the Motor Vessel "Sea Genie II," Joseph A. Kinsella, individually and on behalf of all her engines, tackle and appurtenances, in rem, the Sailing Vessel "Granuaile," her engines, tackle and appurtenances in rem, the company of the rem and company of the rem (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) Barnstable distributees of Katherine Kinsella, deceased (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Foreign - Ireland (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known), (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Sarah B. Herlihy, BBO #640531, Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C., One Financial Center, Boston, MA 02111; (617) 542-6000; Of Counsel: Andrew V. Buchsbaud, Friedman & James LLP, 132 Nassau Street, New York, NY 1008 (212) 233-9385 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) □ [XX] DEF Citizen of This State Incorporated or Principal Place

4 □ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question $\Box 4$ (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State Plaintiff **KX**4 Diversity Citizen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 □ 5 □ 2 U.S. Government (Indicate Citi zenship of Partics of Business In Another State Defendant in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a **X**(3 \square 3 Foreign Nation □ 6 Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) FORFEITURE/PENALTY TORTS BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 400 State Reap portionment □ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 610 Agriculture 362 Personal Injury-620 Other Food & Drug 410 Antitrust ☐ 120 Marine 310 Airplane 430 Banks and Banking 423 Withdrawal ☐ 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice 625 Drug Related Scizure 365 Personal Injury — 28 USC 157 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liubility of Property 21 USC Product Liability 630 Liquor Laws 460 Deportation ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 320 Assault, Libel & PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal 470 Racke teer Influenced and & Enforcement o 640 R.R. & Truck Slander ☐ 1548Medicare Act ā ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product 650 Airline Regs. Corrupt Organizations 820 Copyrights ☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability Liability 660 Occupational 830 Patent PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ 340 Marine Safety/Health Student Loans ☐ 840 Trad emark Exchange (Excl. Veterns) 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud 690 Other ☐ 875 Customer C hallenge ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 371 Truth in Lending LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 12 USC 3410 350 M otor V ehicle 380 Other Personal of Veteran's Benefits B91 Agricultural Acts 🔲 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 M otor V chicle Property Damage 710 Fair Labor Sundards 861 H IA (13 95ff) ☐ 385 Property Damage ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act Product Lishility 190 Other Contract 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 720 Labor/M gmt. Relations 863 DIW C/DIW W (405 (g)) 894 Energy Allocation Act 864 SSID Title XVI REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION: ☐ 895 Freedom of 865 RS1 (405(g)) 730 Labor/M gmt.Reporting Information Act 441 Voting ☐ 510 M otions to Va cate & Disclosure Act 210 Land Condemnation 900 Appeal of Fee Determinent bequal Access to FEDERAL TAXSUITS 740 Railway Labor Act 220 Forec losure 442 Employment Sentence Habeas Corpus: 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ 🗖 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Justice Accommod ations 790 Other Labor Litigation 240 Torts to Land ☐ 530 General ☐ 950 C onstitutionality of or Defendant) 245 Tort Product Liability 535 De ath Penalty 444 Welfare State Statutes 440 Other Civil Rights 540 Mandamus & Other 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 290 All Other Real Property ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions S71 IRS -Third Party 550 Civil Rights Security Act 26 USC 7609 ☐ 555 Prison Condition (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Appeal to District V. ORIGIN Transferred from another district Judge from Reinstated or \square 5 □ 6 Multidistrict Remanded from Original Removed from (specify) Magistrate Appellate Court Reonened Litigation Proceeding Judgment State Court VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) Marine Personal Injury/Wrongful Death VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) instructions): JUDG IF ANY DOCKET NUMBER E -20-04 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

2.	Catagon: Int.	tative of the Estate of Katherine Kinsella, et al. v. Wyman Charter Corp., et al	
	local rule 40.1(a		
	<u> </u> .	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.	
	L II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases	
	XX III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, 380, 385, 450, 891.	
	iv.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 660, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.	
	v.	150, 152, 153.	
3.		er, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in asse indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.	
4.	Has a prior act	ion between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?	
		YES NO XX	
5.	Does the comp 28 USC §2403)	laint in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See	
	20 000 32400,	YES NO XX	
	If so, is the U.S	A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?	
		YES NO	
6.	Is this case req	uired to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?	
		YES NO XX	
7.		rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule	
7.	Massachusetts	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of	
7.	Massachusetts	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?	
7 .	Massachusetts 40.1(d)).	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES X NO	
7.	Massachusetts 40.1(d)).	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?	
7.	Massachusetts 40.1(d)). A.	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental	
7 .	Massachusetts 40.1(d)). A. B.	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If	
	Massachusetts 40.1(d)). A. B.	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES A NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If eparate sheet identifying the motions)	
8.	Massachusetts 40.1(d)). A. B.	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division e of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If eparate sheet identifying the motions)	
8. (PLEA	Massachusetts 40.1(d)). A. B. If filling a Notice yes, submit a s SE TYPE OR PRIF	rties in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the united states and the Commonwealth of ("governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule YES NO If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside? Eastern Division Central Division Western Division e of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If eparate sheet identifying the motions)	